

REMARKS

Applicant has amended his specification, drawings and claims to follow the Examiner's suggestions. Applicant requests the Examiner to reconsider and allow this patent application in view of the claim amendments and the following remarks.

Initially, applicant appreciates the Examiner's attention to the specification and the drawings. Applicant has amended his specification to take all of the Examiner's suggestions (including trademark usage) into account and to also make additional format changes (the Examiner asked the applicants to look over the entire document for any additional errors). Although applicant's originally filed specification did include a description of Figure 5 at page 12 lines 13-15, applicant has proposed minor wording changes to reformat that description. Applicant attaches a proposed substitute specification (both "clean" and "mark on" versions indicating changes) for the Examiner's approval. Applicant also attaches a proposed drawing correction that eliminates redundant reference numeral and adds an indication of item 110 to Figure 3. No new matter is being added by the proposed amendments to the specification or the drawings.

Applicant has also amended his claims to more particularly point out the invention by changing "server" to "service" in claim 1; eliminating the recitations of "Java", and adding further limitations to better distinguish over the applied references.

Sudia's system embeds a manufacturer's certificate in a tamper-resistant chip. See col. 12, lines 57 and following. The Examiner relies on Sudia's disclosure of a technique to replace or supplement public keys embedded at time of manufacture. See col. 39, lines

41 and following. The manufacturer “will enclose the new public key in a signed instruction data packet (or certificate) signed by the manufacturer instructing the device to discard the enclosing certificate and store the designated public instructions key within.” Col. 39, lines 57-63.

The Examiner seems to assert that this Sudia disclosure is of “a client connecting to a server to download an applet to store a new public key” See Office Action at 5 (emphasis added). To the contrary, this part of Sudia says nothing about an applet containing code executable by a virtual machine as recited in applicant’s amended claims (see page 7, lines 3 and following of applicant’s originally filed specification). Sudia’s “signed instruction data packet” instead appears to be an instruction to the tamper-resistant device to execute firmware code that causes replacement of one public key with another. Sudia does not say that the downloaded “signed instruction data packet” is itself executable or is an applet.

Applicant’s approach builds the certificate in an applet and leverages the signed applet concept to deliver the certificate securely to users’ machine. This concept is simply not disclosed or suggested by Sudia – whose entire approach is based on a special secure tamper-resistant chip containing firmware embedded at time of manufacture.

The Examiner seems to be citing Zerber primarily or exclusively for its teaching of an applet archive. Of course, applicant did not invent the applet archive per se, but rather claims it in combination with other features as described above. Zerber does not provide the teachings missing from Sudia.

SALOWEY

Appl. No. 09/524,272

December 5, 2003

All outstanding issues have been addressed and the subject application is in condition for allowance. Should any minor issues remain outstanding, applicant encourages the Examiner to contact applicant's representative listed below so that any such issues can be efficiently resolved without need for a further office action.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By:



Robert W. Faris
Reg. No. 31,352

RWF:pfc
1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor
Arlington, VA 22201-4714
Telephone: (703) 816-4000
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100



METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DISTRIBUTING, INTERPRETING, AND STORING HETEROGENEOUS CERTIFICATES IN A HOMOGENOUS PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to digital certificates using cryptography, and more particularly, to techniques and systems for processing different types of digital certificates within a homogenous public key infrastructure.

BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

10 It used to be that code to be executed on a personal computer or a workstation was usually supplied by physically inserting a diskette, optical disk or other storage medium into a local drive associated with the personal computer or workstation. Now, such code can simply be downloaded over a computer network. One of the more significant developments in network-based software downloading
15 over the last few years has been the development of Java JAVA applets and the Java JAVA® virtual machine -- which allow programs to be dynamically downloaded for execution on an as-needed basis.

Briefly, an applet is a small executable code module that normally doesn't have the complete features and user interface of a normal application. The applet
20 runs inside of an application (for example, a standard web browser) within a "virtual machine" -- that is, a set of computer resources and instructions that make up a generally standardized environment for the applet's execution. Java JAVA is the language most commonly associated with applets, and standard web browsers and other applications include Java JAVA-based virtual machines to run Java JAVA
25 applets.

Such applets provide a convenient mechanism for flexibly providing client-side functionality. They can provide all sorts of functionality on the client side -- everything from graphics support to game play to database lookups to security functions and more. Since ~~Java~~JAVA is a general purpose language, virtually any
5 functionality can be expressed in an applet.

This same flexibility and general purpose functionality creates a security risk. Generally, running a program on a computer usually gives the program access to the computer's resources. The program therefore has the capability of doing things that could damage the user's computer or invade the user's privacy.
10 If the user knows that the program comes from a trusted source, the user can have confidence that the program will not do anything harmful. But an applet downloaded from a web site the user happens to run across when "surfing the web" is untrusted and could be malicious.

~~The~~An initial solution to this problem was to provide a security model that
15 denied downloaded (i.e., remote originating) applets access to certain system resources (e.g., writing to the computer's disk) -- and limited them instead to a very restricted environment called the "sandbox". Under this security model, local code is trusted to have full access to vital system resources such as the file system, whereas downloaded remote code is not trusted and can access only the limited
20 resources provided within the "sandbox."

~~The~~A problem with this initial security model was that it drastically limited the type of functionality that remote code could provide. By denying remote code access to critical system resources, the system was protected but the remote code could only perform a limited set of functions that did not require such resources.

25 | The ~~Java~~JAVA security model evolved to provide a way to determine whether a downloaded remote applet could be trusted. This security check relies

on something called a “digital signature.” A digital signature is like a handwritten signature in the sense that it can be used to verify the authenticity of the item the signature is attached to. The ~~Java~~JAVA security model can compare the digital signature with a “digital certificate” to confirm that the digital signature is authentic. The “digital certificate” is obtained from a trusted source, and specifies a “public key” (and possibly other information) about the originator of the remote applet. Just as your bank can compare a handwritten signature you provided when you opened your bank account to a handwritten signature on a bank check to verify that you authorized payment, the ~~Java~~JAVA security model compares a “digital certificate” with a “digital signature” used to “sign” a remote applet to verify that the applet has come from a trusted source.

Most digital signatures and digital certificates in common use today rely on public key cryptography -- which was invented by researchers at Stanford and MIT in the late 1970’s. Before public key cryptography, it was necessary to exchange a secret shared key in advance to provide secrecy and/or authentication of encrypted messages. Using public key cryptography, the public key of a public-private key pair can be disclosed and even widely published. Only those who possess the corresponding private key of the pair can decrypt messages encrypted using the public key. Public key cryptography has paved the way for a wide variety of cryptographic techniques including for example secure digital signatures and digital certificates.

Digital signatures and certificates are examples of how cryptography can be used for authentication purposes, e.g., to prove that a message came from a particular person or that a particular person is who he says he is. On a simplistic level, a public-key certificate is someone’s public key, digitally signed by a trustworthy person. The trustworthy person is usually referred to as a certifying

authority (CA). By vouching for (and digitally signing) the public key and information about the key's owner, the certifying authority certifies that the information about the key's owner is correct and that the public key in fact belongs to the key's owner. Other parties can check with the certifying authority and use the public key -- safe in the knowledge that the public key actually belongs to the person who claims ownership.

Certificates play an important role in a number of widely adopted public-key protocols including for example ISO's X.509 standard. And, as explained above, digital signatures and certificates are used by Java JAVA virtual machines to authenticate Java JAVA applets before granting the applets the right to access local resources such as the local disk. Many web browsers allows an applet more or less access to local system resources based on the applet's digital signature. The signature can include the source of the applet, an identification of the user who created it, the name of the originating host, and/or other information.

There are now companies in the business of acting as certifying authorities and/or distributing products that allow others to act as certifying authorities. These companies can act as a "root" (ultimate) certifying authority that delegates the power to issue subsidiary certificates to others. The "root" certifying authority ultimately stands behind each of the various certificates issued under the authority of the "root" certificate. Such a "chain of trust" can have many links, and can form a hierarchy of certificates all tracing back to a root certificate.

The widespread deployment of public key cryptography and digital certificates has provided many benefits. However, one feature of the current public key scenario is that deployments of the Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) have generally been homogeneous. This means that one format and type of certificates (i.e., those provided by VeriSign, Inc.) is used throughout the

infrastructure, and one public key algorithm (i.e., the one provided by RSA Data Security, Inc.) is used throughout the infrastructure. A platform that participates in this infrastructure can securely communicate with other entities and services on the network that participate in the PKI -- by following a chain of trust that eventually
5 terminates with the platform knowing the public key of the root certifying authority in the PKI hierarchy. However, a client running on this platform cannot communicate securely with a server that does not support this same public key infrastructure because it cannot verify the server's identity -- since the server's trust chain does not include the root certifying authority. This scenario can create
10 problems in terms of interoperability.

Figure 1 shows an example of an instance of a conventional Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) 50. In the traditional PKI instance 50 of Figure 1, a client 52 runs on a platform 54. As one example, client 52 might comprise a Java/JAVA application running within a virtual machine under a web browser on a local area
15 network coupled to the Internet, a corporate Intranet, or some other distributed or other computer network. Client 52 knows (or can find out via the network) the root public key of a certifying authority (PCA) 56 (for example, it may possess a certificate issued by this certifying authority). A service 60 has a public key certificate chain of trust that begins with its own certificate (PCS) 62 and
20 ultimately is certified (signed) by this same root certifying authority (PCA) 56.

Generally, there are at least two ways a client 52 such as a Java/JAVA applet running under a platform 54 such as a web browser can initiate a network connection. The client 52 can request the platform 54 to make the connection for it; or the client can make the connection directly. If the platform 54 makes the
25 connection, the protocol used on the connection is probably going to be limited to what the platform supports (e.g., HTTP in the case of a web browser). One

advantage of having the platform 54 make the connection is that the platform's certificate store can be accessed and used. Having the client 52 make the connection itself provides more flexibility in what protocols can be used (i.e., the client is not limited to the particular protocols and/or functionality provided by the platform), but the client may not (and generally cannot, in the case where the platform is a web browser) have access to the platform's certificate store.

In more detail, one straightforward way to make a secure connection with the server 60 is for client 52 to initiate the following process:

A) Client 52 requests the platform 54 to make a secure connection with the service 60. Communication is initiated between platform 54 and service 60.

B) Service 60 responds with its certificate chain and other data needed to prove the server is the owner of its private key.

C) Platform 54 verifies the signatures of service 60 based on the certificate it has (or can get) from certifying authority (PCA) 56.

D) A secure channel is established between the platform 54 and server 60, with data being passed between client 52 and service 60.

This scenario breaks down when the server 60 uses a public key (PCS) 62' issued and signed by a different certifying authority (PCB) 64 the platform 54 does not know or initiates connection directly without using the platform . The following happens (see Figure 2):

A) Client 52 requests the platform 54 to make a secure connection with the service 60. Communication is initiated with the service .

B) The service 60 responds with its certificate chain and other data needed to prove the server is the owner of its private key.

C) The platform 54 cannot verify the signature generated by PCB 64 since it does not have that public key. Additionally, the platform 54 and service 60 may be

using different public key algorithms and certificate formats -- making verification even more impossible. A secure connection cannot be established.

There are several reasons why this is difficult problem. In the context of a JavaJAVA® virtual machine using pure javaJAVA® code, it is impossible (due to security restrictions) to access the native certificate store in the web browser. In other words, as mentioned above, while it is certainly theoretically possible for the client 52 to establish the connection itself, it may not be able to access the platform 54's certificate store. Furthermore, the global PKI's deployed today are almost exclusively using RSA public key algorithms; if a server proposes to use an alternative public key algorithm (e.g., DSA), it cannot use the root certificates from well known certifying authorities such as VeriSign as part of its certificate chain. Also, the public key must be transmitted with its integrity intact.

In the case where client 52 is a javaJAVA applet distributed in an archive, one option might be to transfer the certificate with the applet to platform 54. This could be accomplished by creating a certificate database containing the server certificate, and then re-archiving and signing the database and client applet 52 with a key that has a public key certificate from a well known certifying authority such as VeriSign. This process is undesirable because it is difficult and prone to errors. It also means that the repacked database distributed with client 52 will only be able to work with one server 60.

Another option might be to require the applet and certificate to be transmitted over a secure (e.g., SSL) session between the platform 54 and the service 60. This would work, but is undesirable because such secure sessions increase the amount of loading and overhead on the service 60 for each connection.

Another option might be to require the end user to download the certificate separately and save it to the right place for client 52 to access it. This is

undesirable because the end-user may not know where to save the certificate for it to be useful to the client 52 (for example, the location of the certificate may be dependent on the particular ~~Java~~JAVA virtual machine). Furthermore, asking a user to perform such a step manually creates more work on the part of the user, and
5 many users will not have the time, patience or expertise to perform the operation correctly.

Thus, there is a need to provide an efficient, automatic way to provide a client platform with a digital certificate it does not already have, so it is able to authenticate a downloaded digitally signed item such as a signed ~~Java~~JAVA
10 archive.

The present invention solves this problem by supplying a method and apparatus to securely transmit, verify and store the server's certificate on the client's platform.

In accordance with an aspect of an exemplary illustrative non-limiting
15 implementation~~the invention~~, a connection is established between a server and a web browser having access to a first, trusted public key. The server downloads a digitally signed item to the browser, the item including a second public key. This download operation need not be over a secure connection since the item is digitally signed and thus can be tested for authenticity and integrity. The browser verifies
20 the digitally signed item using the first public key, and stores the item containing the second public key in response to the verification. An applet then uses the stored second public key to authenticate the server and establish a secure connection with the server. One example of such an item is a ~~Java~~JAVA archive.

In accordance with a further aspect~~provided by this invention~~, a connection
25 is established between a client platform and a service by downloading a digitally signed applet from the service to the client platform. The client platform verifies

the digitally signed applet using a first public key the client platform already knows and trusts. The client platform executes the applet, thereby controlling the client platform to store a second public key corresponding to the server. The client applet uses this stored second public key to authenticate the service and establish
5 the secure connection. In this particular example, it is the client itself that actually uses the key to establish the secure connection.

In accordance with another aspect of the invention, the applet includes first program code that controls the client platform to store the second public key to a non-volatile memory such as a disk. The applet may further include second
10 program code that controls the client platform to retrieve the stored second public key to verify a signature subsequently provided by the server.

In accordance with a further aspect of the invention, the applet may control the client platform to store a second public key in the form of a digital certificate corresponding to the server; receive a digital signature from the server; and
15 authenticate the received digital signature under control of the executing applet through use of the stored digital certificate corresponding to the server.

In accordance with a further aspect of the invention, the executing applet may invoke a further applet to establish a secure connection.

In accordance with yet another aspect of the invention, the applet comprises
20 a signed Java/JAVA Archive containing a digital certificate corresponding to the server, and a program fragment that stores the digital certificate in a predetermined location on the client platform that permits the client platform to later retrieve the stored digital certificate.

In accordance with yet another aspect of the invention, a client platform for
25 establishing a secure connection with a service over a network comprises

- an applet receiver that receives a digitally signed applet from the service over the network;
- an applet verifier that verifies the digitally signed applet using a first public key the client platform already knows and trusts;
- 5 • an applet executor that executes the applet, thereby controlling the client platform to store a second public key corresponding to the server in a known location, and uses the stored second public key to authenticate the service and establish the secure connection; and
- 10 • an applet executor that executes a second applet, thereby controlling the client platform to retrieve the previously stored second public key and ~~use~~ uses it to authenticate the service and establish the secure connection.

~~In accordance with yet another aspect of the invention,~~ a first server for distributing applets comprises an applet transmitter that transmits a digitally signed
 15 applet to the client over the network, the applet being digitally signed using a first public key the client already knows and trusts, the applet including a program that controls the client to store a second public key corresponding to the same or different server. The client can use the second public key to, for example, establish a secure connection with the first server and/or with a further server.

20 ~~In accordance with yet another aspect of the invention,~~ a server for establishing a secure connection with a client comprises a digital credential transmitter that transmits a digital credential to the client executing the applet, the digital credential being authenticatable by the second public key.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of features and advantages provided
 25 ~~by the invention~~ exemplary illustrative non-limiting implementations:

- The archive created can be transmitted over any insecure connection since it is integrity protected and authenticated.
- The store code archive stores the certificate in an area where the client can access and use it.
- 5 • The verify code archive can contain code that will perform certificate validation for the client. This enables the client to handle certificate types it does not know about.
- The client can make a secure direct connection to the server without having to access certificate stores on the platform.

10 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

These and other features and advantages provided by the present invention exemplary illustrative non-limiting implementations will be better and more completely understood by referring to the following detailed description of preferred embodiments in conjunction with the drawings of which:

15 Figure 1 is a schematic illustration of a conventional homogenous public key infrastructure;

Figure 2 is a schematic illustration of the Figure 1 conventional heterogeneous public key infrastructure;

Figure 3 is a schematic illustration of a preferred example embodiment
20 provided by the present invention;

Figure 4 is a flowchart of example steps performed by the Figure 3 preferred embodiment; and

Figure 5 shows an example certificate applet.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PRESENTLY PREFERRED EXAMPLE EMBODIMENTS

Figure 3 is a schematic diagram of an example preferred embodiment 100 provided by the present invention. As in prior art Figure 1, arrangement 100 includes a platform 54 (e.g., a personal computer including a web browser coupled to a digital network) that knows and trusts a certificate 56 issued by a certifying authority, providing a client 52 and a service 60 (e.g., one or more web servers or other network servers). Service 60 may, in one embodiment, comprise a single network node or server and, in another embodiment, may include two or more network nodes or servers. For example, the server for distributing certificate archives may be different from the server for making secure connections, or they may be the same server.

The preferred embodiment introduces several additional entities. First there is an additional key (PCQ) ~~102-103~~ that is certified by PCA 56. There is also a program 104 (e.g., object code) that is usable to store a certificate (Store Code) and a program 106 (e.g., object code) that can be used to verify the signature on data given a certificate (Verify Code).

Referring to Figure 3, service 60 creates an archive (e.g., a Java/JAVA Archive, or "JAR") 110 containing the store code 104, the verify code 106, and the server's public key (PCS) 62 (~~see Figure 5~~). The private key corresponding to PCQ 104 signs the archive. PCQ 104 has a certificate chain that ends in certifying authority/certificate PCA 102. All of this activity is indicated by the "A" indication in Figure 3.

Referring to Figure 3, ~~n~~Next, the archive 110 is requested by the platform 54 ("B"). The server 60 responds by sending the archive 110 to the client platform 54

("C"). Note that the server 60 providing the archive may be a different server from the one establishing a secure connection with the client 52.

At the client platform, the signature on the archive 110 is verified to be trusted by PCA 56 ("D"). The platform 54 (which may be a JavaJAVA virtual machine running in a web browser) executes the store code 104 contained in the archive 110 to store the certificate 62 and the verify code 106 where the client can access them ("E").

Client 52 then initiates a connection directly with the server 60 ("F"), which may be different than the service that distributed the applet. The server 60 responds with a digital credential (i.e., PCS 62 and any other data needed) to prove the server is the owner of its private key ("G"). In the preferred embodiment, the client 52 either verifies the signature on the data directly, or uses the "verify" class to do this ("H"). Secure communication is then established based on the verification of the server's credential ("I").

One example embodiment uses SSL/TLS to create a secure session between a JavaJAVA applet client 52 and a proxy server 60. In this example embodiment, the DSA public key algorithm is used to authenticate the applet 52 to the server 60. In order for this to be secure, the applet 52 must be able to verify the public key of the server 60. In this solution, the server's certificate is used with an archive file that contains an applet. The applet knows where to store the certificate so the client can access it. Figure 4 is a flowchart showing example steps performed by this process:

The administrator generates a public key (e.g., RSA) pair, and obtains a software-signing certificate from a well known certifying authority such as VeriSign or Thawte (block 202).

The administrator obtains the public key certificate for the public key used on the server (e.g., DSA) (block 204).

The administrator uses the utilities provided to create a java/JAVA archive containing the server's DSA certificate and an applet that knows where to store the certificate (block 206). This archive is signed with the administrator's RSA software signing key obtained in (block 202).

The administrator uses the deployment tools to create a certificate webpage that invokes the certificate applet and tells the applet what page to invoke to invoke the applet. These pages are copied up to the web server (block 208).

When the end user wants to make a secure connection with the client, a "download the certificate webpage" invokes the certificate applet (block 210).

The certificate applet tries to save the server's DSA certificate to disk -- which causes the Java/JAVA virtual machine in the client browser to check signature made with the administrator's RSA key (block 212). Since the administrator has a public key from a well known certifying authority, the signature is verifiable. If the signature is verified, the java/JAVA virtual machine allows the applet to save the server's DSA certificate to the local disk where the client can find it.

The certificate applet then invokes the page that invokes the main client applet (block 214). The client applet can then make a secure connection (block 214).

While the invention has been described in connection with what is presently considered to be the most practical and preferred embodiment, it is to be understood that the invention is not to be limited to the disclosed embodiment, but on the contrary, is intended to cover various modifications and equivalent arrangements included within the spirit and scope of the appended claims.